

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,206	08/27/2003	Bing Lu	FPC-104C	5143
7:	590 01/08/2004		EXAM	INER
Kenneth P. Glynn, Esq.			CHOI, LING SIU	
Glynn & Associates, P.C. 24 Mine Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Flemington, NJ 08822		1713		
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>/</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/649,206	LU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAH INO DATE of the comment of the	Ling-Siu Choi	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a secondary of the condition of the co	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	ndrawn from consideration.	÷
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompliant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		(1)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(est sentence of the specification or ovisional application has been received or priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Application is a Continuation-in-part of US Serial No. 09/973,396. Claims 1-18 of Group I will be considered due to the previous claim election without traverse

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: (a) claims 2-3, 5-6, and 8-18, "A process" is suggested to be changed to --The process--; (b) claim 1, line 5, "compound is" is suggested to be changed to --compound being--; and (c) claim 1, line 8, "compound represented" is suggested to be changed to --compound being represented--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206

Art Unit: 1713

4. Claims 1- 10 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaus et al. (US 4,347,158).

The present invention is directed to an olefin polymerization catalyst containing

copulverizing	erizing (a) magnesium compound
	(b) an aluminum compound
	(c) an organic electron donor containing at least one atom [oxygen, silicon, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorus atoms]
	(d) a titanium compound

(summary of claim 1)

Kaus et al. et. a catalyst for olefin polymerization, the catalyst comprising (A) a catalyst component and (B) triethyl aluminum, wherein the catalyst component is prepared by the steps of (a) co-comminuting a magnesium chloride support base and aluminum trichloride, (b) co-comminuting anisole with the resulting product from step (a), (c) co-comminuting the resaulting product with ethyl benzoate, and (d) co-comminuting titanium tetrachloride with the resulting product from step (c) (Example 1-col. 10, line 35; claims 13-14). Kaus et al. further disclose that the preferred molar ratio of magnesium chloride to the magnesium compoiund is about 8 to 0.5-3.0; the molar ratio of magnesium chloride to ethyl benzoate is about 8-0.5-3.0; the molsar ratio

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

of magnesium chloride to titanium tetrachloride is about 8-0.1-1.0 (line 67 of col. 6 - line 2 of col. 7; col. 7, lines 39-43 and 52-56). Kaus et al. furthermore disclose that the molar ration of triethyl aluminum to titanium metal is 240/1 (col. 10, line 42). aus et al. also disclose that the polymerization of propylene is carried out at 70°C (col. 10, lines 44-45). Thus, the present claims are anticipated by the disclosure of Kaus et al..

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuno et al. (JP 54-122387)

Matsuno et al. disclose a catalyst comprising (A) a solid component and (B) an organometallic compound, wherein the solid component is prepared by <u>pulverizing</u> (a) a reaction product of **magnesium** oxide and **aluminum** trichloride, (b) an **ester**, and (c) a **titanium** compound (abstract). Thus, the present claim is anticipated by the disclosure of Matsunno et al...

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206

Art Unit: 1713

7. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaus et al.

Page 5

(US 4,347,158) in view of Lofgren et al. (US 4,673,661).

The disclosure of Kaus et al. is set forth in paragraph and is incorporated herein by

reference.

The difference between the present claims and the disclosure of Kaus et al. is the

requirement of the use of silane as an electron donor.

Lofgren et al. disclose an internal electron donor used in a Ziegler-Natta catalyst, the

internal electron donor being an ester or a silane compound, wherein ester can be ethyl benzoate

and the silane compound can be an alkylarylsilane (col. 2, lines 60-68 and col.3, lines 1-3). A

conclusion can then be drawn that the use of ethyl benzoate is equivalent to and interchangeable

with the use of alkylaryl as an internal electron donor. Thus, it wou; ld have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace an ester with an silane in the

disclosure of Kaus et al. with an expectation of success and thereby obtain the present invention.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is (703)305-0887.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

David Wu, can be reached on (703)308-2450.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206

Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

. . . .

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-2351.

Sy Caloi

Ling-Siu Choi

December 27, 2003